



CANARA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

BENJANAPADAVU – 574219, Bantwal Taluk, D K District, Karnataka

www.canaraengineering.in

Feedback on Faculty

Sample Feedback forms - Pg. No. 2

Feedback Analysis - Pg. No. 4

Canara Engineering College
Benjanapadavu, Bantwal Talu Mangaluru

Computer Science & Engineering
Admission Year 2017-2018
Programming in C & Data Structures (17PCD23)
Krishna Prasad D S . .

Course Feedback Report

Total students: 55

Percentage=93.06%

Sl. No.	Feedback Parameters	Scores
1	Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes	4.84
2	Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject	4.78
3	Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus	4.73
4	Is fair in dealing with students	4.58
5	Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning	4.56
6	Deals effectively with student's behaviour	4.64
7	Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives	4.71
8	Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students	4.56
9	Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills	4.67
10	Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students	4.51
11	Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative	4.51
12	Encourage them to think	4.75

CO Feedback

Percentage=4.52%

Canara Engineering College
Benjanapadavu, Bantwal Talu Mangaluru

Electrical & Electronics Engineering
Admission Year 2017-2018
Computer Aided Engineering Drawing (17CED24)
Rohit Jayaraja Acharya

Course Feedback Report

Total students: 26

Percentage=89.49%

Sl. No.	Feedback Parameters	Scores
1	Is regular and punctual in taking up of classes	4.58
2	Demonstrates sound knowledge on the subject	4.65
3	Provides additional knowledge on the subject beyond syllabus	4.46
4	Is fair in dealing with students	4.42
5	Creates an atmosphere conducive to learning	4.62
6	Deals effectively with student's behaviour	4.27
7	Provides notes that is a good match for the objectives	4.58
8	Plans activities that are well differentiated and appreciated by students	4.38
9	Welcomes/settles the class appropriately and displays humble but commanding skills	4.42
10	Makes objectives if the session/topic explicit to students	4.38
11	Engages the class with activities that are appropriate and creative	4.50
12	Encourage them to think	4.42


CO Feedback

Percentage=4.51%



CANARA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Benjanapadavu, Bantwal Taluk
Mangalore - 574219



Department of Basic Science & Humanities

ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON FACULTY

Academic Year: 2017-2018

Sem: EVEN

Sl. No.	Faculty name	Course name	Course code	FB in %age
1.	Shilpa Pai	Engineering Maths - II	17MAT21	85.31
2.	Reena Pednekar	Engineering Maths - II	17MAT21	85.88
3.	Lavanya D Kateel	Engineering Chemistry	17CHE22	85.15
4.	Dr Priya V Frank	Engineering Chemistry	17CHE22	96.22
5.	Krishna Prasad	Programming in C & Data Structures	17PCD23	93.06
6.	Verdine Noronha	Programming in C & Data Structures	17PCD23	86.34
7.	Medha Bidarahalli	Computer Aided Engineering Drawing	17CED24	79.24
8.	Narayana Swamy	Computer Aided Engineering Drawing	17CED24	96.83
9.	Manjunatha I R	Basic Electronics	17ELN25	64.27
10.	Pavithra H B	Basic Electronics	17ELN25	74.31
11.	Saritha Suvarna	Computer Programming Lab	17CPL26	98.01
12.	Kaushik K S	Computer Programming Lab	17CPL26	93.30
13.	Prashantha S K	Engg. Chemistry Lab	17CHEL27	94.64
14.	Lavanya D Kateel	Engg. Chemistry Lab	17CHEL27	89.32
15.	Aneesh V Bhat	Environmental Studies	17CIV28	94.24
16.	Dr. Priya V Frank	Environmental Studies	17CIV28	95.96
17.	Guruprasad U	Engineering Mathematics II	17MAT21	89.68
18.	Raksha Salian	Engineering Mathematics II	17MAT21	82.92
19.	Vidyalakshmi P	Engineering Physics	17PHY22	70.16
20.	Dr.Amitha Prabhu	Engineering Physics	17PHY22	89.75
21.	Aneesh V Bhat	Elements Of Civil Engineering	17CIV23	96.24
22.	Deepak Gurudev	Elements Of Civil Engineering	17CIV23	71.24
23.	Gopal R Pai	Elements of Mechanical Engineering	17EME24	78.95
24.	Vijeth P S	Elements of Mechanical Engineering	17EME24	83.97
25.	Tara B B	Basic Electrical Engineering	17ELE25	94.01
26.	Akshatha Bhat	Basic Electrical Engineering	17ELE25	89.88


27.	Gopal R Pai	Workshop Practice	17WSL26	82.85
28.	Vijeth P S	Workshop Practice	17WSL26	86.03
29.	Dr.Amitha Prabhu	Engineering Physics Lab	17PHYL27	90.11
30.	Vidyalakshmi P	Engineering Physics Lab	17PHYL27	85.40
31.	Chaithra	Engineering Maths - II	17MAT21	86.67
32.	Prashantha S K	Engineering Chemistry	17CHE22	83.85
33.	Santhosh D K	Programming in C & Data Structures	17PCD23	97.37
34.	Rohit J Acharya	Computer Aided Engineering Drawing	17CED24	89.49
35.	Pavithra H B	Basic Electronics	17ELN25	66.99
36.	Pavithra D S	Computer Programming Lab	17CPL26	91.28
37.	Prashantha S K	Engg. Chemistry Lab	17CHEL27	85.32
38.	Dr.Amitha Prabhu	Environmental Studies	17CIV28	86.79
39.	Reena Pednekar	Engineering Maths - II	17MAT21	88.47
40.	Dr. N Damodara	Engineering Chemistry	17CHE22	81.26
41.	Pragathi Hegde	Programming in C & Data Structures	17PCD23	93.33
42.	Lohith R	Computer Aided Engineering Drawing	17CED24	85.10
43.	Ashwini Holla V	Basic Electronics	17ELN25	89.12
44.	Pragathi Hegde	Computer Programming Lab	17CPL26	93.61
45.	Dr. N Damodara	Chemistry Lab	17CHEL27	86.16
46.	Deepak Gurudev	Environmental Studies	17CIV28	84.18
47.	Shyamala	Engineering mathematics II	17MAT21	93.68
48.	Vishweshwara U	Engineering Physics	17PHY22	95.45
49.	Deepak Gurudev	Elements Of Civil Engineering	17CIV23	93.02
50.	Srinivas Shenoy	Elements of Mechanical Engineering	17EME24	98.96
51.	Vinod Kumar B	Basic Electrical engineering	17ELE25	88.07
52.	Deepak Gurudev	Workshop Practice	17WSL26	98.89
53.	Vishweshwara U	Engineering Physics Lab	17PHYL27	96.51

Observation:

1. The average faculty feedback obtained for this academic year is 87.86% with lowest=64.27 and highest=98.96.
2. As per College norms, it is expected that every faculty get minimum feedback of 70% in their respective course.
3. It was observed that the feedback on Mr. Manjunatha I R and Ms. Pavithra H B in the Basic Electronics course was 64.27 % and 66.29 % (less than 70%).

Action suggested:

1. Since the feedback obtained by Mr. Manjunatha I R and Ms. Pavithra H B in the Basic Electronics course was less than 70%, it was suggested to the concerned faculty that in the next semester the performance needs to be improved by adopting effective teaching learning methodology.



PRINCIPAL